The intonation of echo wh-questions in Ecuadorian Spanish
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Abstract

In Romance languages, neutral echo wh-questions and counterexpectational (i.e., non-neutral or incredulous) echo wh-questions are usually distinguished by tonal differences in the nuclear contour. In this study, we show that Ecuadorian Spanish does not differentiate between those question types in terms of tonal targets or by expanding the pitch range of the nuclear region. Results drawn from a production experiment based on semi-spontaneous speech with nine native speakers show that neutral as well as non-neutral echo wh-questions are realized with the same nuclear configurations, typically a rising one (L* H%). However, counterexpectational wh-questions are realized with a significantly larger pitch range than their neutral counterparts (with a difference of 2.03 semitones). From a diatopic point of view, our data indicate that there are prosodic differences in the realization of questions between the Andean and the coastal region. Speakers from the latter region realize rising as well as low and falling nuclear configurations (L* H%, L* L% and H* L%) and use a lower mean pitch. We hereby provide intonational support for the well-known division between tierras bajas ‘lowlands’ and tierras altas ‘highlands’ used for capturing the dialectal phonological variation on the segmental level of Latin-American Spanish.
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1. Introduction

Echo questions are subclasses of questions that fully or partially repeat a prior utterance. Their main function is for the addressee to clarify the content of the preceding utterance and to elicit missing information when he or she failed to understand what the speaker just expressed in the discourse [1] (see [2] and [3] for additional functions). In a neutral echo wh-question (see (1) below), the hearer did not comprehend the name Pedro properly and asks who came. However, the question in (1) can also be interpreted as a non-neutral echo question. In this case, the hearer did understand the information properly, but is surprised about what has been said since it contradicts his or her expectations (namely, that Pedro was the one who came). Hence, he or she checks who came.

Echo wh-questions

Context: Pedro vino. (‘Pedro came’)  
Echo: ¿Quién vino? (‘Who came?’)  

As (1) illustrates, the two types of echo wh-questions are not distinguished syntactically, i.e., by alternations in word order (see [2] and [4] for details on the possibility of using wh-in-situ and the quotative particles que ‘that’ and si ‘whether’). In many Spanish varieties the difference between these two types of questions is marked in terms of intonation. In Mexican Spanish, for example, neutral echo wh-questions (NEQs) have a rising nuclear configuration (L* LH%), while non-neutral or counterexpectational echo wh-questions (CQs) are characterized by a rising-falling contour (L+H* L%; [5]). In Venezuelan Spanish, NEQs have a falling nuclear contour (H+L* L%) and CQs are realized by a rising contour (L+H* H%; [6]). In Argentinian Spanish, NEQs have a falling boundary tone, while CQs end at a mid-level (L+H% HL%) vs. L+H* M%; [7]). In Castilian Spanish, a (rising-)falling contour is attested for NEQs (L+H* L%) and a rising contour for CQs (L+H* HH%); [8]). The Castilian patterns have already been described in the seminal work of Escandell-Vidal [2], [3] and [4], in which she offers detailed analyses of the interrelation between syntax, semantics, pragmatics and the intonation of echo-questions. Next to the tonal differences, all varieties also distinguish NEQs from CQs by expanding the pitch range of the CQs ([5], [6], [7] and [8]). The combination of tonal movement and pitch excursion is also attested in other Romance languages, such as Italian [9] or Catalan [10].

In Ecuadorian Spanish, however, no differences in the tonal realization have been attested so far [11]. Both question types are characterized by a rising-falling nuclear configuration (L* HL%). While this strongly contrasts with the pattern previously described for other Spanish and Romance varieties, Ecuadorian Spanish also makes use of pitch excursion [11]. CQs can be marked by an extended peak value of the high tone within the nuclear configuration. Despite the thorough study of [11], the overall concept of the edited book in which the work appeared entailed that [11] analyzed only a very limited dataset: Two subjects from Quito uttered up to two echo wh-questions per type. For this reason, we wonder whether the inexistence of tonal differences can be replicated by means of a more broadly based study or whether the findings are rather a result of the too restricted sample. Two hypotheses (H1 and H2) guide our study:

• H1: Both NEQs and CQs are realized with a low nuclear pitch accent (L*) and a rising-falling contour at the end of the utterance (HL%; in accordance with [11]).
• H2: In contrast to NEQs, CQs may have a wider pitch expansion within the nuclear configuration (again, in accordance with [11]).

2. Experiment

To analyze the intonation patterns of CQs and NEQs in Ecuadorian Spanish we conducted a production experiment based on semi-spontaneous speech gathered by means of a
Discourse Completion Task [12] and [13]. In the prosodic analysis, we concentrated on the nuclear configuration (i.e., the nuclear pitch accent and the sentence-final boundary tone), the pitch range and the mean pitch throughout the utterance.

2.1. Methods

2.1.1. Participants

We recorded nine monolingual native speakers of Ecuadorian Spanish (four male) aged between 19 and 38 years (average 25.9 years, SD = 7.0). All of them participated voluntarily for a small reimbursement and they were unaware of the purpose of the study. Five of them originated from Quito, the capital of Ecuador, and one from Tulcán. Both cities are in the Andean region, henceforth, the highlands. The last three speakers grew up at the coast in Guayaquil, henceforth, the lowlands. All participants had been living/studying in Germany at the time of the recordings (range between 4 months and 12 years) and are learning German as a foreign language.

2.1.2. Materials

In order to compare the nuclear configuration and the pitch range of CQs and NEQs we generated 12 pairs of short contexts, one evoking the production of a non-neutral question, the other one favoring a neutral reading (see (2) and (3) below). Contexts that elicit CQs were constructed in parallelism to the one for counterexpectational echo wh-questions used in the questionnaire of the Atlas of Spanish Intonation [14]. Comparable segmental environments are desired to allow for a thorough prosodic analysis. Thus, we created contexts to evoke neutral wh-questions with similar words and parallel syntax as in the non-neutral condition. Possible target utterances elicited by contexts (2) and (3) are shown in brackets. The contexts were controlled for eliciting mostly sonorant material within the region of the nuclear configuration (such as (se) llama ‘is called’ in the given examples) in order to allow for a better pitch tracking.

**CQ:**

Te comentan que la madre de un conocido se llama Zoila Vaca. No puedes creer que alguien se llame así y preguntas muy incrédulo cómo se llama. (Possible answer: ¿Cómo se llama?)

‘You are told that the name of a friend’s mother is Ima Cow (pronounced: I’m a cow). You can’t believe that this is someone’s name and you ask incredulously what her name is.’ (Possible answer: What’s her name?)

**NEQ:**

En una fiesta te presentan a la tía de tu novio/novia pero no sabes si has entendido bien el nombre. Pregúntale cómo se llama (Possible answer: ¿Cómo se llama?)

‘At a party, you are introduced to your partner’s aunt but you are not sure whether you understood her name properly. Ask for her name.’ (Possible answer: What’s her name?)

Additionally, we included 12 filler trials with neutral contexts to elicit simple declarative constructions. Furthermore, six practice items were constructed. They consisted of similar contexts, evoking CQs, NEQs and declaratives. The order of the contexts was pseudorandomized and no more than two contexts of the same condition occurred in a sequence. Furthermore, we switched the overall order of the contexts after six speakers to avoid possible position effects.

2.1.3. Procedure

In the Discourse Completion Task, participants were asked to spontaneously answer to a given situation, e.g., the contexts illustrated in (2) and (3). The contexts were presented by the first author for the recording sessions in Konstanz and by the fourth author for the sessions in Frankfurt (see below). Each session started with a short practice phase in order to familiarize the participants with the procedure. Participants were asked to produce their (semi-)spontaneous reactions as natural as possible. Feedback was provided only in cases of hesitations or complete misunderstandings. In such cases, participants were asked to repeat the utterance.

The sessions of seven of the participants took place in a sound-attenuated cabin at the PhonLab of the University of Konstanz. Productions were recorded using an MXL 990 condenser microphone and a Tascam HD P2 portable stereo audio recorder. In addition, two participants were recorded in a sound-attenuated booth at the phonetics laboratory at the Goethe University Frankfurt using an Edirol by Roland, R-44 solid-state four-channel portable field recorder. A sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and a resolution of 16 Bit was used in all recordings.

2.1.4. Data treatment & analysis

Overall, we recorded 248 CQs and NEQs. 59 items had to be excluded due to glottalization or infelicitous answers (e.g., the context was not understood properly even after repetition). The remaining 189 productions (CQ: 91; NEQ: 98) were analyzed phonologically and phonetically using Praat [15]. The files were labeled segmentally at the level of morphological word and syllable. Pitch accents and boundary tones were labeled following the guidelines of Sp_ToBI presented in [16]. Pitch values and the calculated pitch range in semitones (st) were extracted automatically using a Praat script. Pitch was extracted within the range of the nuclear configuration at manually set minimum and maximum points.

2.2. Results

2.2.1. Intonation contours

The results across all speakers and regions show that both CQs and NEQs are predominantly realized with a rising nuclear configuration consisting of a low nuclear accent followed by a high boundary tone (L* H%; CQ: 57%, N=52; NEQ: 46%, N=45). For examples of rising contours, see Figure 1, left and mid panel. The second most common contour across both question types displays a low nuclear accent followed by a downstepped high boundary tone (L* 1H%; CQ: 6%, N=5; NEQ: 17%, N=17). The third and fourth most common nuclear configurations are L* 1% (CQ: 9%, N=8; NEQ: 7%, N=7) and H* 1% (CQ: 8%, N=7; NEQ: 6%, N=6) respectively. Moreover, we find additional tonal configurations. The percentage distribution of the attested nuclear contours is shown in Figure 2. With respect to the attested boundary tones, our data show a predominant use of H% (CQ: 65%, N=59; NEQ: 50%, N=49). The second most common boundary tone is !H% (CQ: 14%, N=13; NEQ: 27%,
62 utterances from lowland speakers (CQ: 29, NEQ: 33). 127 utterances from highlanders (CQ: 31%, N=43; NEQ: 30%, N=10). From the coastal region speakers also realize L* L% and H* L% with a comparatively high frequency (L* L%; CQ: 21%, N=6; NEQ: 12%, N=4) [see Figure 1, right panel] and H* L%; CQ: 17%, N=5; NEQ: 15%, N=5). By comparison, speakers from the highlands produce L* L% in 3% (N=2) of the CQs and in 5% (N=3) of the NEQs and H* L% in 3% (N=2) of the CQs and in 2% (N=1) of the NEQs (see Figure 3).

2.2.2. Pitch range and mean pitch

No clear tonal differences between the intonation contours of the two question types investigated are found. However, an additional analysis of the pitch range (measured in semitones, st) within the nuclear configuration and the mean pitch throughout the questions reveals acoustic differences between CQs and NEQs.

Pitch range measured in the nuclear configuration was statistically analyzed using a linear mixed effects regression model (R-version 3.1.2) with question type (CQ vs. NEQ) as fixed factors, and participants and items as crossed random factors, allowing for random adjustments of intercepts and slopes [17]. To ensure the validity of the model, data points with residuals beyond 2.5 standard deviations were removed and the model was refitted. P-values were calculated using the Satterthwaite approximation in the R-package lmerTest.

Results for pitch range of the nuclear configuration show a significant main effect of question type. Participants realize CQs with larger pitch ranges than NEQs (β=2.03, SE=0.39, t=5.19, p<0.00001). The difference in the nuclear configuration of CQs across all speakers is on average 2.03 st larger than in NEQs (CQ: 8.96 st vs. NEQ: 6.93 st), as visualized in Figure 4 for L^* H% contours. Regarding the geographical difference (highlands vs. lowlands), both speaker groups show this difference in pitch range. Speakers from the highlands produce the CQs with a 1.98 st wider pitch range than the NEQs (β=1.98, SE=0.42, t=4.68, p<0.00001, CQ: 9.15 st vs. NEQ: 7.17 st). Speakers from the coastal region show a 2.09 st larger pitch range in NEQs (β=2.09, SE=0.80, t=2.61, p<0.05) than in CQs (CQ: 8.55 st vs. NEQ: 6.46 st).

Differences in the mean pitch throughout the utterances were also statistically analyzed using a linear mixed effects regression model (R-version 3.1.2) with the same specification...
and procedure as above (with question type as fixed factor and participants and items as crossed random factors). Again, data points with residuals beyond 2.5 standard deviations were removed and p-values were calculated using the Satterthwaite approximation in the R-package lmerTest.
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**Figure 4:** Time normalized F0-contour averaged across male and female speakers and both regions of the most frequent nuclear configuration (L* H%) in CQs and NEQs.

Results for mean pitch show a significant main effect of question type, as well. Productions of CQs show a higher F0-mean than those of NEQs (8=14.95, SE=3.13, t=4.78, p<0.00001). The mean pitch in CQs is on average 15 Hz higher than in NEQs (CQ: 210 Hz vs. NEQ: 195 Hz). However, in a further analysis, we ran separate models for participants from the highlands and the lowlands, to account for possible diatopic effects and found that the main effect of question type on the produced mean F0 within the utterances only holds true for participants who originated from the highlands (8=21.13, SE=3.27, t=6.46, p<0.00001). This speaker group produces CQs with an average mean pitch of 264 Hz, whereas the NEQs show a mean pitch of 243 Hz. There is no such effect of question type in the productions of participants from the lowlands (8=2.81, SE=6.34, t=0.44, p=0.6). The difference in the mean pitch of the productions of lowland speakers is only 3 Hz (CQ: 149 Hz vs. NEQ: 146 Hz).

### 3. Discussion

The results of the production experiment reveal that H1 can only partly be verified. In accordance with [11] we find the same nuclear contour in the productions of both NEQs and CQs. However, our results do not show a rising-falling boundary tone (HL%), as claimed by O’Rourke [11], but a rising boundary tone with a preceding low pitch accent (L* H%). Additionally, 17% of the neutral questions end in a shallower version of this contour, namely in a high plateau (L* H%), which may be due to the narrower pitch range expansion across the nuclear contour. This suggests that inaccuracy is not expressed by means of tonal differences in Ecuadorian Spanish.

Interestingly, we find a difference in the tonal realization of the nuclear configuration between speakers from the highlands and the lowlands. The group from the highlands prefers L* H%, while the lowland group also frequently produces L* L% and H* L% next to L* H%. This result can be interpreted as possible intonational support for the well-known division between *tierras bajas* (‘lowlands’) and *tierras altas* (‘highlands’) - terms that are used to capture the dialectal phonological variation on the segmental level of Latin American Spanish [18].

Regarding H2, our results support the findings of [11] that a difference between CQs and NEQs is established by a wider pitch expansion within the nuclear contour of CQs. Our analysis reveals that the pitch range difference between CQs and NEQs is significant for speakers of both geographical regions. This is in line with findings from other Romance languages that equally mark non-neutral questions by a wider pitch range ([9], [10], [19] and [20]). As a basic principle, the intonational meaning of CQs is conveyed acoustically, through differences in the pitch range of the nuclear configuration.

As for the mean pitch, our data show that only speakers from the Andean highlands produce CQs with a significantly higher mean pitch than NEQs. Speakers from the coastal region do not use mean pitch to differentiate between CQs and NEQs. This can be interpreted as a further diatopic difference between the highlands and the lowlands of Ecuador (along with the differences in the tonal realization of the nuclear configuration). However, these findings also might be due to a gender effect: five out of the six participants from the highlands were female and all speakers from the lowlands were male. Therefore, we cannot exclude a possible effect of gender rather than region. Nevertheless, differences in mean pitch seem to be a possible factor for speakers to go back to in order to discriminate between CQs and NEQs, signaling inaccuracy by raising the pitch level.

### 4. Summary and Outlook

In this study, we investigated whether neutral echo *wh*-questions (NEQs) and counterexpectational echo *wh*-questions (CQs) in Ecuadorian Spanish can be distinguished by intonational means. Our results suggest that speakers do not differentiate between CQs and NEQs by means of tonal movement. Both question types were mainly realized with a low-rising contour (L* H%), with some diatopic differences that we interpret as an intonational reflection of the classical diatopic division of Latin American Spanish in highlands and lowlands. The finding of a low-rising contour, which is also attested for CQs in other Romance varieties (e.g. in [6] and [8]), contradicts observations made by [11], who found that a rising-falling contour is used to mark those types of questions.

While no tonal differences can be attested in the data, our results show a clear distinction regarding the pitch range in the nuclear contour. This suggests that speakers of Ecuadorian Spanish (highlands and lowlands) express the difference between CQs and NEQs by means of pitch range expansion. Additionally, speakers may use a higher mean pitch throughout the utterance in order to express inaccuracy. However, a significant difference in the mean pitch is confirmed only for the Andean region by our data. For future work, it will be conducive to scrutinize a data set that carefully controls for sex and origin of the participants. This allows to carefully examine whether mean pitch differences in the realization of CQs and NEQs result from gender effects or differences in the geographical region. In addition, a higher number of participants may be considered. Furthermore, a perception experiment will help to show whether the differences in pitch range and mean pitch are a salient factor for hearers to discriminate between CQs and NEQs.
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