

SoSe 2011

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This MA/LA seminar investigates the pragmatic properties of several constructions involving focal stress, including question-answer congruence in discourse and association with focus. Central to the seminar will be questions about in-situ *vs.* movement approaches to focus, the role of the Focus Phrase, direct *vs.* indirect association with focus, the phonology/pragmatics interface of focus, and implicatures (exhaustivity) and other effects (intervention) due to focus. Furthermore, the course will study the pragmatic properties of a related construction: contrastive topic. Finally, other relevant issues at the pragmatics/semantics interface will be presented (invited presentations).

INSTRUCTOR: Prof. Maribel Romero (short for María Isabel Romero Sangüesa)

maribel.romero@uni-konstanz.de

G212

Office hours: Thursdays 12-13h

COURSE PREREQUISITES

Background on pragmatics at least equivalent to Ling116.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

- Class presentation of a relevant paper (Referat)
- Term paper (Hausarbeit) due shortly after the end of classes.

SCHEDULE

Focus	
April 14	Introduction to Focus Rooth, M. 1996. Focus. In S. Lappin (ed.), Handbook of contemporary semantic theory. Blackwell. [Up to page 277.]
April 21	No class (holiday)
April 28	The notion of scope I: in-situ, movement and hybrid approaches Rooth, M. 1996. Focus. In S. Lappin (ed.), <i>Handbook of contemporary semantic theory</i> . Blackwell. [Rest of the paper] Krifka, M. 2006. Association with focus phrases. In Valerie Molnar and Susanne Winkler, <i>The Architecture of Focus</i> , Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 2006, 105-136.
May 5	The notion of scope II: the role of the Focus Phrase Wagner, M. 2006. Association by Movement. Evidence from NPI-Licensing, Natural Language Semantics 14. 297–324. Arndt Riester and Hans Kamp. 2010. Squiggly issues: Alternative sets, complex DPs and intensionality. In M. Aloni et al. (eds.) Logic, Language and Meaning. Revised Selected Papers from the 17th Amsterdam Colloquium. Berlin: Springer. pp.374-383.
May 12	Talk by Brian Leahy (U. Konstanz, SALT/Poland talk) on antipresuppositions in counterfactuals. Background reading TBA.
May 19	 EITHER Intervention effects and Focus Tomioka, S. 2007. Pragmatics of LF intervention effects: Japanese and Korean Wh-interrogatives, Journal of Pragmatics 39: 1570-1590. OR Direct vs. indirect association with focus Beaver, D. and B. Clark. 2008. Sense and sensitivity. How focus determines meaning. Blackwell. [Selected chapters]
May 26	Focus and intonation Reading to be dertermined in coordination with Ling342 (Experimental Phonology) and/or Ling311 (Prosody).
June 2	No class (holiday)

CONTRASTIVE TOPIC		
June 9	Contrastive Topic Büring, D. 2003. On D-Trees, Beans, and B-Accents. <i>Linguistics & Philosophy</i> 26:511-545. Kadmon, N. 2009. Contrastive topics and the focal structure of questions, unpublished ms, available in semanticarchive.net. Kamali and Büring. 2011. Topics in questions, GLOW 2011 talk (April 28-30, 2011). Roberts, C. 1996. Information structure in discourse, <i>OSU Working papers</i> . van Rooj, R. 2008. Topic, Focus, and Exhaustive Interpretation. In Chungmin (ed.), <i>Proceedings of CIL 18 workshop</i> .	
June 16	Talk by SATOSHI TOMIOKA (U. Delaware) on contrast and Gricean reasoning. Background reading TBA.	
June 23	No class (holiday)	
June 30	 Talk by CLEO CONDORAVDI (Standford/PARC/Zukunftskolleg in U. Konstanz) on one of these three topics (see longer description below): ① Computing the implications of complements of implicative and presuppositional predicates: Nairn et al. (2006). ② Temporal interpretation of modals: updated version of Condoravdi (2002). ③ Performatives and imperatives: Condoravdi and Lauer (2011). Background reading TBA. 	
July 7 or make-up day	Student presentations	
July 14	To be decided.	