# The Mapping from Form to Meaning

Prof. Dr. Maribel Romero Antrittsvorlesung June 9, 2008

#### Roadmap

- 1. The semantic enterprise
- 2. A case study: Korean plural -tul
- 3. The bigger picture

#### The Semantic Enterprise

The semantic enterprise aims to map linguistic form to meaning.

LINGUISTIC FORM simple/complex word sentence discourse MEANING mental representations or model-theoretic objects in the world

 Consider a complex linguistic expression, e.g. a sentence. What aspects of its form need to be taken into account to derive its meaning?

#### Atomic units matter

- Obviously, it matters what atomic units are used.
   (1) The captain admires Mary.
   (2) The captain admires Sue.
- Lexical ambiguity: (B. Santorini's webpage)
   (3) Notice in a field: The farmer allows walkers to
  - cross the field for free, but the bull charges.
  - (4) Sign at a car dealership: The best way to get back on your feet—miss a car payment.

#### Syntactic Form matters

The syntactic structure (syntactic relation among the units) clearly matters.

NP<sub>SU</sub>

VP

upset

NPDO

- (1) John upset Mary.
- (2) Mary upset John.



(3) I most enthusiastically recommend this candidate [with no qualifications whatsoever].
 (B. Santorini's webpage)

#### **Phonological Form matters**

- The intonation (focal stress) matters.
- (1) If John had married <u>BERtha</u>, he would have inherited \$1M.
  - SThe will had a clause concerning Bertha.
- (2) If John had <u>MArried</u> Bertha, he would have inherited \$1M.
  - The will had a clause requiring that John be married.

#### Principle of Compositionality

Frege's Principle of Compositionality:

The meaning of a complex expression is a function of the meaning of its parts and the way they are combined.



#### Unconscious knowledge

- Lexical example: *weil* vs. *denn*
- (1) Die Straße ist sehr naß, denn es hat viel geregnet.(2) Die Straße ist sehr naß, weil es viel geregnet hat.
- (3) Es hat viel geregnet, denn die Straße ist sehr naß.
  (4)#Es hat viel geregnet, weil die Straße sehr naß ist.
  (Scheffler 2008)

#### Unconscious knowledge

- Syntactic example: high vs. low negation
- (1) Did John not see Lucía or Martin?
  - As alternative question: Lucía / Martin.
  - As polar question: Yes / No.
- (2) Didn't John see Lucía or Martin?
  - As alternative question: Lucía / Martin.
  - As polar question: Yes / No.
    - (Han and Romero 2004)

#### Systematic knowledge

- Whoever understands (1) understands (2):
- (1) Holland defeated Italy.
- (2) Italy defeated Holland.





#### The Semantic Enterprise

The goal is to uncover our systematic, largely unconscious knowledge of linguistic meaning and characterize it using formal tools; more concretely:

- To define the meaning of the units and explain their similarities and differences, and
- To build an algorithmic procedure that combines those meaning units in a systematic way.

#### Roadmap

- 1. The semantic enterprise
- → 2. A case study: Korean plural -tul
  - 3. The bigger picture

#### **Plurality in Nouns**

#### • Plurality in NOUNS: Singular Plural (1) Boy $\lambda x.BOY(x)$ $\{a,b,c\}$ (2) Boys $*\lambda x.BOY(x)$ $\{a+b+c, a+b, b+c, a+c, a, b, c\}$

(3) Al and Bob are boys.  $a+b \in \{a+b+c, a+b, b+c, a+c, a, b, c\}$ 

13

#### **Plurality in Verbs**

Plurality in VERBS: Iterative pluractionality

Singular	Plural
(1) slap	(2) slap + Pluractional marker
λe.SLAP(e)	*λe.SLAP(e)
$\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$	$\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_3, e_3 + e_2, e_2 + e_3, e_3 + e_3, e_4 + e_4, e_4 + e_3, e_4 + e_4, e_4, e_4, e_4, e_4, e_4, e_4, e_4,$
	<b>e<sub>1</sub>+e<sub>3</sub></b> , e <sub>1</sub> , e <sub>2</sub> , e <sub>3</sub> }

(3) Su=nana u=bi-pi-ma-tatsi. [Oregon N. Paiute] SUBJ=man 3=red-butt-hand-<u>slap</u> 'The man is <u>spanking</u> him/her.' (Thornes 2003)  $\exists e [e \in *\lambda e.SLAP(e) \& Ag(e)=the.man \& Pat(e)=him/her]$ 

- Korean marker *-tul* has, in descriptive terms, two syntactico-semantic functions:
- Intrinsic Plural Marker (IPM) function: on nominals
- Extrinsic Plural Marker (EPM) function: on non-nominals

(Data from Joh (2008))



- EPM -tul in non-nominals: *≠*iterative pluractionality
- (1) Ku haksaeng-tul-i lak'etpol-ul The student-IPM-nom racquetball-acc yolsimhi-tul ch'y-ot-ta intensely-EPM hit-Pst-Dec
   'The students played racquetball intensely.'
- (2) \* Han haksaeng-i lak'etpol-ul yolsimhi-tul ch'y-ot-ta
   One student-nom racq.-acc intensely-EPM hit-Pst-Dec
   'One student played racquetball intensely.'

EPM -tul in non-nominals:

(1) Ilhaknyen haksayng-tul-i kongweneyse
 First-year student-IPM-Nom park-Loc
 <u>sikkurupkke</u>-tul ttamok-ul ci-ess-ta.
 <u>loudly</u>-EPM raft-Acc build-Pst-Dec.
 (The first wear students built a reft in the next) (need)

'The first-year students built a raft in the park (possibly as a collective action), each loudly / being loud.'

EPM -tul in non-nominals: = distributivity of the modified non-nominal

 (2) Ilhaknyen haksayng-i <u>kongweneyse-tul</u> First-year student-IPM-Nom <u>park-Loc</u>-EPM sikkurupkke ttamok-ul ci-ess-ta.
 loudly raft-Acc build-Pst-Dec.
 'The first-year students built a raft loudly (possibly as a collective action), each in the park.'

#### Conclusion 1:

The same form --namely *-tul*-- is used to express plurality and distributivity.

 Morphological support for Landman's (1996) idea that Plurality = Distributivity = \*-operator

If there is only one -tul marker in Korean (as opposed to two homophonous units), how do we derive the difference between the two uses?

- IPM vs EPM -tul: a minimal pair
- (1) Ai-tul-i kikyey-tul-ey tongcen-ul neh-ess-ta. Child-IPM-Nom Machine-IPM-Loc coin-Acc put-Pst-Dec 'The children put a coin into machines.'

(Simple) plurality

(2) Ai-tul-i kikyey-ey-tul tongcen-ul neh-ess-ta. Child-IPM-Nom Machine-Loc-EPM coin-Acc put-Pst-Dec 'The children put a coin [each into a machine].' Distributivity

Conclusion 2:

The difference between IPM and EPM -tul strives on:

 whether -tul applies internal to the Noun Phrase and, thus, the \*-operator applies to the λ-slot of the noun:

#### (1) \*λx. MACHINE(x)

 or -tul applies external to the NP and, thus, the \*-operator applies to a λ-slot of the entire Postpositional Phrase.

(2)  $\lambda P.*\lambda x$ .  $\exists e [ P(x)(e) \& \exists z [MACHINE(z) \& LOC(e+z)] ]$ 

♥ Different syntactic form derives different meaning.

#### The Semantic Enterprise

The goal is to uncover our systematic, largely unconscious knowledge of linguistic meaning and characterize it using formal tools; more concretely:

- To define the meaning of the units and explain their similarities and differences, and
- To build an algorithmic procedure that
   combines those meaning units in a systematic way.

#### Roadmap

- 1. The semantic enterprise
- 2. A case study: Korean plural -tul
- → 3. The bigger picture

# The vast research space of meaning

#### Formal semantics

questions, focus, ellipsis, indefinites, scope, negation, disjunction, conditionals, intensionality, individual concepts, adjectives, situations, events, free choice items, ...

- The syntax-semantics interface reconstruction, binding theory, copular sentences, adverbial quantification over individuals, ...
- The semantics-pragmatics interface ellipsis and discourse, epistemic bias, decision-theoretical pragmatics, ...
- Computational semantics

Tree Adjoining Grammars, underspecified representations, ...

Beyond semantics, beyond linguistics

What are the main contributions that we can expect semantics --and, more generally, linguistics-- to make to science and society in the foreseeable future?

In other words, why should we care about semantics and linguistics?

#### A long-term pay-off

- Linguistics is the study not of a language, but of the language faculty:
  - What patterns recur crosslinguistically and what patterns are unattested?
  - What are the building blocks of meaning on which languages build their lexical units and composition rules?
- In the long term, I believe that linguistics will help us understand the (small) percentage of the human genome that differentiates us from other species.

#### A mid-term pay-off

- Computer applications have exploited lexical and syntactic resources of language. Now semantic information is being added.
- Semantic representations are useful for several applications:
  - search engines model checkers inference machine translation human-bot communication, etc.

#### Thank you!