



# Grammar Development with LFG and XLE

Miriam Butt  
University of Konstanz

# Last Time

- Integration of a Finite-State Morphological Analyzer
  - Sublexical Rules
  - Sublexical Entries
  - The -unknown entry
- The XLE Lexicon Lookup Model

# This Time: Lesson 8

1. Verbal Complements: COMP and XCOMP
  - Finite Complements (COMP)
  - Non-finite Complements (XCOMP)
  - Control Verbs
  - Theory of Control and Complementation
2. Regression Testing (Testsuites) and Debugging

# Types of Arguments

- So far we have been working with the following grammatical functions (GFs):

SUBJ, OBJ, OBJ2, OBL

- But there are also GFs corresponding to clausal arguments:

XCOMP, COMP

- COMP is generally used for finite *that*-clauses
- XCOMP is generally used for non-finite embedded clauses.

# Types of Arguments

- You can read up on grammatical functions in LFG and how to test for them in the Dalrymple book, Ch. 2.

# Complements: COMP

- Some verbs require finite clausal arguments:
  - *think*: Kim thinks [that the gorilla ate the bananas].
  - *say*: Kim said [that the gorilla ate the bananas].
  - *believe*: Kim believes [that the gorilla eats cake].
  - ...
- These arguments are treated as COMP in LFG at f-structure and as a CP at c-structure.
- Note that they are recursive
  - Kim thinks that Sandy said that the gorilla ate the bananas.
  - Kim thinks that Sandy said that the dog believes that the gorilla ate the bananas.

# A (simplified) f-str with COMP

PRED 'believe<(^SUBJ),(^COMP)>'

SUBJ ( PRED 'Kim'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE nom )

COMP ( PRED 'eat<(^SUBJ),(^OBJ)>'

SUBJ ( PRED 'Kim'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE nom )

OBJ ( PRED 'cake'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE acc )

# Complements: XCOMP

- Some verbs require non-finite clausal arguments:
  - *persuade*: Kim persuaded the gorilla [to eat cake].
  - *promise*: Kim promised the gorilla [to eat cake].
  - *want*: Kim wanted [to eat cake.]
  - *want*: Kim wanted the gorilla [to eat cake.]
- These arguments are treated as XCOMP in LFG at f-structure and generally as a VP at c-structure.



# Complements: XCOMP

- Note that they are recursive as well
  - Kim wanted to persuade Sandy to eat cake.
  - Kim wanted to persuade Sandy to promise the gorilla to eat cake.
  - ...
- They also interact with the COMPs
  - Kim thinks that Sandy wants to persuade Peter to believe that the gorilla ate cake.
  - Kim promised Sandy to believe that Peter persuaded the gorilla to eat cake.
  - ...

# XCOMP: Functional Control

- The non-finite clauses are called XCOMPs in LFG because the embedded clause contains a open SUBJ argument that is **controlled** by an argument in the matrix clause.
- Which argument controls the embedded SUBJ is *lexically* determined.
- Who is doing the eating? – Sandy  
Kim persuaded Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake].
- Who is doing the eating? – Kim  
Kim promised Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake].

# Open Functions

- XCOMP is considered to be an **open GF** because one of its arguments is not specified within the clause.

- XADJUNCT is its adjunct counterpart.

[\_\_ Having eaten the cake], Kim ordered some tea.

- The subject of the embedded non-finite adjunct is controlled by an entity outside of the clause.

# XCOMP: Functional Control

- Each control verb needs to specify in the lexicon whether it is a subject or an object control verb (*want* does both).
- Object control verb: *persuade*  
Kim persuaded Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake].
- Subject control verb: *promise*  
Kim promised Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake].

# A (simplified) f-str with XCOMP – OBJ control

PRED 'persuade<(^SUBJ)(^ OBJ)(^XCOMP)>'

SUBJ {  
PRED 'Kim'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE nom

OBJ {  
PRED 'Sandy'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE acc

XCOMP {  
PRED 'eat<(^SUBJ),(^OBJ)>'

SUBJ {

OBJ {  
PRED 'cake'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE acc

# A (simplified) f-str with XCOMP – SUBJ control

PRED 'promise<(^SUBJ)(^ OBJ)(^XCOMP)>'

SUBJ {  
PRED 'Kim'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE nom

OBJ {  
PRED 'Sandy'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE acc

XCOMP {  
PRED 'eat<(^SUBJ),(^OBJ)>'

SUBJ {

OBJ {  
PRED 'cake'  
NUM sg, PERS 3, CASE acc

# XCOMP: Functional Control

- The lexical specification is done by means of a **control equation**.

- Object control verb: *persuade*

$(\hat{XCOMP\ SUBJ}) = (\hat{OBJ})$

Kim persuaded Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake].

- Subject control verb: *promise*

$(\hat{XCOMP\ SUBJ}) = (\hat{SUBJ})$

Kim promised Sandy [ \_\_\_ to eat cake]

# Control and Complementation

- The basic theoretical concepts for understanding control and complementation in LFG were worked out in the early 1980s.
- Classic article:
  - Bresnan, Joan. 1982. Control and Complementation. In *The Mental Representation of Grammatical Relations*. The MIT Press.
  - Reprinted in: Bresnan, Joan. 1982. Control and Complementation. *Linguistic Inquiry* 11(3):343-434.



# Demo

**grammar7.lfg**  
**testsuite7.lfg**

**Grammar Engineering:  
Testsuites and Debugging  
(morphological analyzer)  
COMP and XCOMP**

# Practical Work

- This concludes Lesson 8.
- The practical work you should do now is detailed in Exercise 8.
- You will practice with
  - integrating verbal complements (COMP, XCOMP)
  - writing entries for control verbs
  - learn about raising verbs

