Pashto second position en(do)clisis

Tina Bögel

University of Konstanz

mfm 2015

Untangling 'Pashto second position en(do)clisis'

Pashto:

- → Eastern Iranian language, ca. 50 Million speakers in Afghanistan/Pakistan
- ightarrow Data presented here mainly from Tegey (1977) and native speaker N. Rehman

Untangling 'Pashto second position en(do)clisis'

Pashto:

- ightarrow Eastern Iranian language, ca. 50 Million speakers in Afghanistan/Pakistan
- ightarrow Data presented here mainly from Tegey (1977) and native speaker N. Rehman

Second position clitics (2P):

- → Definition varies greatly between languages
- → 'Second' mostly refers to the position after the first word or the first syntactic XP constituent, for prosodic or syntactic reasons.



Untangling 'Pashto second position en(do)clisis'

Pashto:

- \rightarrow Eastern Iranian language, ca. 50 Million speakers in Afghanistan/Pakistan
- ightarrow Data presented here mainly from Tegey (1977) and native speaker N. Rehman

Second position clitics (2P):

- → Definition varies greatly between languages
- → 'Second' mostly refers to the position after the first word or the first syntactic XP constituent, for prosodic or syntactic reasons.

Endoclisis:

- ightarrow The 2P enclitic does not only change its linear position, but 'moves' into the stem of the host \Rightarrow endoclitic
- → Can be viewed in parallel to infixes, but: separate syntactic element, does not add meaning to the host, triggered by postlexical processes.
- → Reported for Udi (Harris 2002), Degema (Kari 2002) and Pashto (Tegey 1977)

Bögel (University of Konstanz)

2 / 27

This talk

- 1 the common Pashto data
- the 'uncommon' Pashto data
- a syntactic and prosodic perspective on that data
- a resulting solution

Pashto 2P clitics

| Weak Pronoun | Num.&Pers. | Modal | Translation | Adverbial | Translation |
|--------------|------------|-------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| me | 1. Sg | ba | will, should | хо | really |
| de | 2. Sg | de | should, let | no | then |
| ye | 3. Sg | | | | |
| am / mo | 1. Pl | | | | |
| am / mo | 2. PI | | | | |
| ye | 3. PI | | | | |

Expected to have functional scope over the whole sentence (daughters of S). If more than two enclitics cooccur, they are placed in a fixed template.



(2) [angur]_{NP} = ye r α wrə grapes he brought 'He brought grapes.'



- (2) [angur]_{NP} = **ye** $r\alpha$ wṛə grapes he brought 'He brought grapes.'
- (3) $[xus\alpha l]$ aw patang $[xus\alpha l]$ $[xus\alpha l]$ and Patang will it you to bring 'Koshal and Patang will bring it to you.'
 - *xuš α I =**ba** =**ye** aw patang dər ta r α wri

- (2) [angur]_{NP} = ye r α wṛə grapes he brought 'He brought grapes.'
- (3) $[xuš\alpha l \text{ aw patang}]_{NP} = \mathbf{ba} = \mathbf{ye} \text{ dər ta } r\alpha wri Koshal and Patang will it you to bring 'Koshal and Patang will bring it to you.'$
 - *xuš α I =**ba** =**ye** aw patang dər ta r α wri
- (4) $[layl\alpha\ na]_{PP}=$ **de** α xistə (*layl α = **de** na α xistə) Layla from you buy 'You were buying it from Layla.'

- (2) [angur]_{NP} = ye r α wṛə grapes he brought 'He brought grapes.'
- (3) $[xus\alpha l \text{ aw patang}]_{NP} = ba = ye \text{ dər ta } r\alpha wri Koshal and Patang will it you to bring 'Koshal and Patang will bring it to you.'$
 - *xuš α I =**ba** =**ye** aw patang dər ta r α wri
- (4) $[layl\alpha \ na]_{PP} = \mathbf{de} \ \alpha xistə$ (*layl $\alpha = \mathbf{de} \ na \ \alpha xistə$) Layla from you buy 'You were buying it from Layla.'
- (5) [ağa šəl kaləna x α ysta peğla aw loy təgay alək]_{NP} =**me** nən by α wəlida that 20- year pretty girl and big thirsty boy l today again saw 'I saw that pretty 20-year old girl and the big thirsty boy again today.'

(6) [tor =me wəlidə] magar [spin =me wə nə lidə]
Tor I saw but Spin I PERF not saw
'I saw Tor, but I didn't see Spin.'



(6) [tor =me wəlidə] magar [spin =me wə nə lidə] Tor I saw but Spin I PERF not saw 'I saw Tor, but I didn't see Spin.'

It can be concluded:

Pashto 2P enclitics are clause-bound

(6) [tor =**me** wəlidə] magar [spin =**me** wə nə lidə] Tor I saw but Spin I PERF not saw 'I saw Tor, but I didn't see Spin.'

It can be concluded:

- Pashto 2P enclitics are clause-bound
- Always placed after the first syntactic constituent

(6) [tor =**me** wəlidə] magar [spin =**me** wə nə lidə]
Tor I saw but Spin I PERF not saw
'I saw Tor, but I didn't see Spin.'

It can be concluded:

- Pashto 2P enclitics are clause-bound
- Always placed after the first syntactic constituent
- The size of that constituent does not matter

(6) [tor =**me** wəlidə] magar [spin =**me** wə nə lidə]
Tor I saw but Spin I PERF not saw
'I saw Tor, but I didn't see Spin.'

It can be concluded:

- Pashto 2P enclitics are clause-bound
- Always placed after the first syntactic constituent
- The size of that constituent does not matter
- → Already difficult to find a common prosodic host

Prosodic constraints

- (7) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍə́ =**de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'
- \rightarrow 2P clitics only occur after stressed elements



Prosodic constraints

- (7) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá =**de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'
- $\rightarrow\,$ 2P clitics only occur after stressed elements
- → This can also result in en(do)clisis

Endoclisis

- Pashto is an argument-dropping language
- $\rightarrow\,$ sentences can consist of only a verb and a 2P clitic



Endoclisis

- Pashto is an argument-dropping language
- ightarrow sentences can consist of only a verb and a 2P clitic
 - Endoclisis in the context of an aspect-determined stress alternation
 - (8a) **perfective:**ták =**me** wαhə

 shake₁ I shake₂

 'I shook it '
- (8b) **imperfective:** takw α h $\acute{=}$ **me** shake I 'I was shaking it.'

Endoclisis

- Pashto is an argument-dropping language
- \rightarrow sentences can consist of only a verb and a 2P clitic
 - Endoclisis in the context of an aspect-determined stress alternation
 - (8a) **perfective:** (8b) **imperfective:** $t = me w \alpha h \Rightarrow t = me w \alpha h \Rightarrow shake_1 l shake_2 shake l 'l shook it.' (1 shook it.'$
- ⇒ With respect to the verbal hosts, three classes can be distinguished:



Class I: 'Monomorphemic' verbs

```
(9a) imperfective (9b) perfective
təxnawəla =me wə =me təxnawəla (*wətəxnawəla =me)
tickle I PERF I tickle
'I was tickling (her).' 'I tickled (her).'
```

Perfective aspect formed with perfective prefix wa

- → Receives main stress
- ⇒ The clitic is placed after the stressed prefix

- form perfective with wa-prefix
- can have alternating stress in the imperfective

10 / 27

- form perfective with wa-prefix
- can have alternating stress in the imperfective

• /a/ as separate clitic/prefix from a diachronic perspective(?)



- form perfective with wa-prefix
- can have alternating stress in the imperfective

- /a/ as separate clitic/prefix from a diachronic perspective(?)
- → Not true for all a-initials



- form perfective with wa-prefix
- can have alternating stress in the imperfective

- /a/ as separate clitic/prefix from a diachronic perspective(?)
- → Not true for all a-initials
- → No longer from a synchronic perspective



Class II: 'Bimorphemic' verbs

Majority of verbs in this class consist of a derivational prefix and a root.

```
(11a) imperfective (11b) perfective telw\alphah\acute{a} =me telw\alphah\acute{a} =me telw\alphah\acute{a} push length PREF length push 'I pushed (it).'
```

- Perfective formed via stress shift to the prefix
- Clitic in perfective placed after the stressed prefix



Class II: 'Bimorphemic' verbs

Majority of verbs in this class consist of a derivational prefix and a root.

```
(11a) imperfective (11b) perfective telw\alphah\acute{\bullet} =me telw\alphah\acute{\bullet} | t
```

- Perfective formed via stress shift to the prefix
- Clitic in perfective placed after the stressed prefix

However:



Class II: 'Bimorphemic' verbs

Majority of verbs in this class consist of a derivational prefix and a root.

- Perfective formed via stress shift to the prefix
- Clitic in perfective placed after the stressed prefix

However:

Also a group of verbs witch do not contain an identifiable prefix/root

(12a) imperfective (12b) perfective b
$$\alpha$$
ylódə =me b $\dot{\alpha}$ y =me lodə lose lose₁ lose₂ 'I lost (it).'



Class III: Complex predicates

Complex predicates: combination of adjectives/adverbs/nouns and light verbs

- Clitics seem to follow first syntactic constituent.
- $\,\,
 ightarrow\,$ size does not matter, cannot be interrupted



- Clitics seem to follow first syntactic constituent.
- ightarrow size does not matter, cannot be interrupted
- If that syntactic constituent is destressed, clitics are placed after the next constituent carrying stress.

13 / 27

- Clitics seem to follow first syntactic constituent.
- → size does not matter, cannot be interrupted
- If that syntactic constituent is destressed, clitics are placed after the next constituent carrying stress.
- In the cases in (2.) and in verb-initial sentences, the clitic is placed according to an aspect-caused stress shift
- → after the verb in the imperfective (enclitic)
- → within the verb in the perfective (endoclitic)

- Clitics seem to follow first syntactic constituent.
- → size does not matter, cannot be interrupted
- If that syntactic constituent is destressed, clitics are placed after the next constituent carrying stress.
- In the cases in (2.) and in verb-initial sentences, the clitic is placed according to an aspect-caused stress shift
- → after the verb in the imperfective (enclitic)
- → within the verb in the perfective (endoclitic)

Resulting prosodic range: from several phonological phrases to stressed syllables.

essentially:

size does not matter, but stress does, and while verbs can be interrupted, other syntactic constituents cannot?



Proposed solution

- Pashto 2P clitics are first and foremost placed according to syntactic constraints.
- ightarrow In the position after the first syntactic constituent



Proposed solution

- Pashto 2P clitics are first and foremost placed according to syntactic constraints.
- ightarrow In the position after the first syntactic constituent
- If syntactically (and prosodically) stranded in clause-initial position
- → postlexical phonological rephrasing (prosodic inversion) ensures that the 2P enclitic has a host.

Proposed solution

- Pashto 2P clitics are first and foremost placed according to syntactic constraints.
- → In the position after the first syntactic constituent
- If syntactically (and prosodically) stranded in clause-initial position
- \rightarrow postlexical phonological rephrasing (prosodic inversion) ensures that the 2P enclitic has a host.
- ⇒ Closer look at the syntactic and prosodic requirements

Pashto syntax - some relevant notions

- SOV (Verbal complex (VC) is always final)
- Argument-dropping
- Scrambling of constituents before VC
- Assume a flat syntactic structure (all XPs as immediate daughters of S)

A close look on the 'stressed preceding syntactic constituent'.

(14) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá = **de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'

Inital 'unstressed' elements are part of a second group of clitics



A close look on the 'stressed preceding syntactic constituent'.

(14) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá = **de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'

Inital 'unstressed' elements are part of a second group of clitics

Corresponding strong form:



A close look on the 'stressed preceding syntactic constituent'.

(14) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá = **de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'

Inital 'unstressed' elements are part of a second group of clitics

Corresponding strong form:

 \rightarrow construction with a **strong** oblique pronoun: $m\alpha$ (15a) tor $[m\alpha \ sara]$ der \dot{x} pezani

Tor me with very well acquainted 'Tor is very well acquainted with me.'

16 / 27

A close look on the 'stressed preceding syntactic constituent'.

(14) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá = **de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'

Inital 'unstressed' elements are part of a second group of clitics

Corresponding strong form:

- ightarrow construction with a **strong** oblique pronoun: $m\alpha$ (15a) tor $[m\alpha \ sara]$ der \dot{x} apezani

 Tor me with very well acquainted 'Tor is very well acquainted with me.'
- ightarrow construction with a **weak** oblique pronoun: $r\alpha$ (15b) tor der xə $[r\alpha \ sara]$ pezani

 Tor very well me with acquainted 'Tor is very well acquainted with me.'



A close look on the 'stressed preceding syntactic constituent'.

(14) $r\alpha$ ta pe $g\alpha$ nḍá = **de** me for by_him sew you 'You were having him sew it for me.'

Inital 'unstressed' elements are part of a second group of clitics

Corresponding strong form:

- ightarrow construction with a **strong** oblique pronoun: $m\alpha$ (15a) tor $[m\alpha \ sara]$ der \dot{x} a pezani

 Tor me with very well acquainted 'Tor is very well acquainted with me.'
- ightarrow construction with a **weak** oblique pronoun: $r\alpha$ (15b) tor der $x = [r\alpha \ sara]$ pezani

 Tor very well me with acquainted 'Tor is very well acquainted with me.'
- ightarrow Moved to the position in front of the verb for no apparent prosodic reason!

⇒ **Conclusion**: *Syntactic* clitic, syntactically attaching to the constituent which ensures sentential scope: the VC.

- ⇒ Conclusion: Syntactic clitic, syntactically attaching to the constituent which ensures sentential scope: the VC.
 - **Consequence**: There will never be a completely unstressed constituent preceding the verbal complex.

- ⇒ Conclusion: Syntactic clitic, syntactically attaching to the constituent which ensures sentential scope: the VC.
 - **Consequence**: There will never be a completely unstressed constituent preceding the verbal complex.
 - (Simplified) syntactic analysis very straightforward:
 S → [{XP 2P XP* | 2P} VC] (where XP = {NP | PP | AP | AdjP})

- ⇒ **Conclusion**: *Syntactic* clitic, syntactically attaching to the constituent which ensures sentential scope: the VC.
 - **Consequence**: There will never be a completely unstressed constituent preceding the verbal complex.
 - (Simplified) syntactic analysis very straightforward: $S \longrightarrow [\{XP \ 2P \ XP^* \mid 2P\} \ VC] \quad (where \ XP = \{NP \mid PP \mid AP \mid AdjP\})$

Two possible constructions:

- XP 2P XP* VC
- ightarrow no further rearrangements necessary

17 / 27

- ⇒ Conclusion: Syntactic clitic, syntactically attaching to the constituent which ensures sentential scope: the VC.
 - **Consequence**: There will never be a completely unstressed constituent preceding the verbal complex.
 - (Simplified) syntactic analysis very straightforward: $S \longrightarrow [\{XP \ 2P \ XP^* \mid 2P\} \ VC] \quad (where \ XP = \{NP \mid PP \mid AP \mid AdjP\})$

Two possible constructions:

- XP 2P XP* VC
- ightarrow no further rearrangements necessary
- 2P VC
- → Enlitics in clause-inital position require repositioning (via prosodic inversion)

Prosodic inversion

Main question: What is the 'landing place' of the 2P clitic?

- ⇒ Answer to that with evidence from several phonological processes:
 - vowel coalescence
 - vowel harmony
 - initial /k/-deletion

(16) VC-external clitic:

tə = \mathbf{ye} w α xla you it PERF.buy 'You buy it.'

(*wə axla)

(16) VC-external clitic: tə =ye w α xla you it PERF.buy 'You buy it.'

(*wə axla)

(17) **VC-internal clitic:** $w\alpha = ye xla$ PERF.buy₁ it buy₂ 'Buy it.'

19 / 27

(16) VC-external clitic:

tə = \mathbf{ye} w α xla (*wə axla) you it PERF.buy 'You buy it.'

(17) VC-internal clitic:

 $\mbox{w} \alpha = \mbox{ye} \mbox{ xla} \ \mbox{PERF.buy}_1 \mbox{ it } \mbox{buy}_2 \ \mbox{`Buy it.'}$

(18) Across word boundaries:

kor šp α nə axli (*šp α n α xli) house shepherd buys 'The shepherds are buying the house.'

(16) VC-external clitic:

tə = \mathbf{ye} w α xla (*wə axla) you it PERF.buy 'You buy it.'

(17) VC-internal clitic:

 $\mbox{w} \alpha = \mbox{ye} \mbox{ xla} \ \mbox{PERF.buy}_1 \mbox{ it } \mbox{buy}_2 \ \mbox{`Buy it.'}$

(18) Across word boundaries:

kor šp α nə axli (*šp α n α xli) house shepherd buys 'The shepherds are buying the house.'

→ vowel coalescence within the prosodic word

(16) VC-external clitic:

tə = \mathbf{ye} w α xla (*wə axla) you it PERF.buy 'You buy it.'

(17) VC-internal clitic:

 $\mbox{w} \alpha = \mbox{ye} \mbox{ xla} \ \mbox{PERF.buy}_1 \mbox{ it } \mbox{buy}_2 \ \mbox{`Buy it.'}$

(18) Across word boundaries:

kor šp α nə axli (*šp α n α xli) house shepherd buys 'The shepherds are buying the house.'

- → vowel coalescence within the prosodic word
- ightarrow postlexical process also occurs with negative marker which is a separate syntactic item

Regressive vowel harmony: /i/ and /u/ raise mid-vowels /o/ and /e/ to high.



Regressive vowel harmony: /i/ and /u/ raise mid-vowels /o/ and /e/ to high.

(19) applies to 2P clitics:

wə =**di** guri (*de)
PERF should see

'He should see him.'

20 / 27

Regressive vowel harmony: /i/ and /u/ raise mid-vowels /o/ and /e/ to high.

(19) applies to 2P clitics:

wə =di guri (*de)

PERF should see

'He should see him.'

(20) **applies to preverbal clitics:**were bandi (*bande) xi ζ u
it on step
'We are stepping on it.'

Regressive vowel harmony: /i/ and /u/ raise mid-vowels /o/ and /e/ to high.

(19) applies to 2P clitics:

```
wə = \mathbf{di} guri (*de)
PERF should see
```

'He should see him.'

(20) applies to preverbal clitics:

```
wər b\alphandi (*b\alphande) xi\zetau it on step 'We are stepping on it.'
```

(21) Does not apply to VC-external 2P clitics:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{patang} = & \mbox{me} \ [\mbox{wini}]_{\rm VC} & \mbox{(*mi)} \\ \mbox{Patang me} & \mbox{sees} \\ \mbox{'Patang sees me.'} \end{array}
```

Regressive vowel harmony: /i/ and /u/ raise mid-vowels /o/ and /e/ to high.

(19) applies to 2P clitics:

wə =di guri (*de)

PERF should see

'He should see him.'

(20) applies to preverbal clitics:

wər b α ndi (*b α nde) xi ζ u it on step 'We are stepping on it.'

(21) Does not apply to VC-external 2P clitics:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{patang} = & \mbox{me} \ [\mbox{wini}]_{\rm VC} & \mbox{(*mi)} \\ \mbox{Patang me} & \mbox{sees} & \mbox{`Patang sees me.'} & \mbox{\ } \end{array}$

(22) does not apply between two prosodic words:

```
xe wuxe (*xi wuxe)
good camels
'Good female camels'
```

Vowel harmony II

- **1** VH applies to all word categories if the phonological context is given.
- Within the verbal complex, VH spreads to both groups of clitics.
- VH cannot cross the boundary between two lexically stressed words (two individual prosodic words); i.e., vowel harmony is not restricted by the phonological phrase.
- VH cannot spread to a 2P clitic that is outside of the verbal complex, even if it is directly preceding it.

Conclusion: can be assumed that the verbal complex itself forms one prosodic word, including the main verb and both types of clitics.

Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:



Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with $/k/\colon$

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)



Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)

(23) first component ends in a vowel:

asad ğanəm wobə-**k**awi Asad wheat water do

'Asad was watering the wheat.'

Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)

- (23) **first component ends in a vowel:** asad ğanəm wobə-**k**awi Asad wheat water do 'Asad was watering the wheat.'
- (24) First component ends in a consonant:
 asad ğanəm tit-Øawi (*tit-kawi)
 Asad wheat spread do
 'Asad was spreading the wheat.'

22 / 27

Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)

- (23) first component ends in a vowel: asad ğanəm wobə-kawi
 - Asad wheat water do 'Asad was watering the wheat.'
- (24) First component ends in a consonant:

asad ğanəm tit-⊘awi Asad wheat spread do (*tit-kawi)

'Asad was spreading the wheat.'

In the perfective: (stress on initial component)

Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)

(23) first component ends in a vowel:

asad ğanəm wobə-**k**awi Asad wheat water do 'Asad was watering the wheat.'

(24) First component ends in a consonant:

asad ğanəm tit-Øawi (*tit-kawi) Asad wheat spread do 'Asad was spreading the wheat.'

In the perfective: (stress on initial component)

(25) deletion never occurs:

dzhobəl **k**-em injured do 'I injure...'

Class III complex predicates: light verbs starting with /k/:

In the imperfective: (stress on light verb)

(23) first component ends in a vowel:

asad ğanəm wobə-**k**awi Asad wheat water do 'Asad was watering the wheat.'

(24) First component ends in a consonant:

asad ğanəm tit-⊘awi (*tit-kawi) Asad wheat spread do 'Asad was spreading the wheat.'

In the perfective: (stress on initial component)

(25) deletion never occurs:

dzhobəl **k**-em injured do 'I injure...'

Assumption: Some boundary prevents the deletion

What is the boundary?



What is the boundary?

• Can't be a 'real' prosodic word boundary $)_{\omega}(_{\omega},$ if analysis is to be true for all other verb classes as well



What is the boundary?

- Can't be a 'real' prosodic word boundary $)_{\omega}(_{\omega},$ if analysis is to be true for all other verb classes as well
- Can't be foot.



What is the boundary?

- Can't be a 'real' prosodic word boundary $)_{\omega}(_{\omega},$ if analysis is to be true for all other verb classes as well
- Can't be foot
- **Solution:** nested prosodic word $((x)_{\omega} x)_{\omega}$



23 / 27

What is the boundary?

- Can't be a 'real' prosodic word boundary $)_{\omega}(\omega)$, if analysis is to be true for all other verb classes as well
- Can't be foot
- **Solution:** nested prosodic word $((x)_{\omega} x)_{\omega}$
- \rightarrow strong enough to restrict /k/-deletion



What is the boundary?

- Can't be a 'real' prosodic word boundary $)_{\omega}(_{\omega},$ if analysis is to be true for all other verb classes as well
- Can't be foot
- **Solution:** nested prosodic word $((x)_{\omega} x)_{\omega}$
- → strong enough to restrict /k/-deletion
- → weak enough to let processes like vowel harmony pass



If assuming that VC as a whole receives prosodic word status:



24 / 27

If assuming that VC as a whole receives prosodic word status:

- **①** Each stressed item receives prosodic word status: $(x \times (x)_{\omega} \times x)_{\omega}$
 - → problematic if class three light verb receives prosodic word status k-deletion would again be blocked, but this is not the case

If assuming that VC as a whole receives prosodic word status:

- Each stressed item receives prosodic word status: (x x (x)_ω x x)_ω
 → problematic if class three light verb receives prosodic word status k-deletion would again be blocked, but this is not the case
- ② Each stressed item forms a prosodic word boundary to its right: $((x \times x)_{\omega} \times x)_{\omega}$

| | construction | example |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | $((wm{\circ})_\omega = \mathbf{d}\mathbf{i} \; guri)_\omega$ | after perfective prefix (VH) |
| 1 | $((w\alpha)_\omega = ye \times la)_\omega$ | after perfective prefix (VC) |
| 2 | $((\dot{t} \dot{e} ert)_\omega = me \ w lpha h ə)_\omega$ | after stressed part of verb |
| 3 | $((rlpha\ ta\ pe\ glphand\acute{\bullet})_\omega = de)_\omega$ | after verb and preverbal clitics |
| 4 | $((r\alpha \text{ ta pe w\'e})_{\omega} = \mathbf{de} \ \mathbf{g}\alpha \mathbf{n} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e})_{\omega}$ | after perfective prefix and preverbal clitic |

If assuming that VC as a whole receives prosodic word status:

- Each stressed item receives prosodic word status: (x x (x)_ω x x)_ω → problematic if class three light verb receives prosodic word status – k-deletion would again be blocked, but this is not the case
- ② Each stressed item forms a prosodic word boundary to its right: $((x \times x)_{\omega} \times x)_{\omega}$

| | construction | example |
|---|--|--|
| 1 | $((wm{\circ})_\omega = \mathbf{d}\mathbf{i} \; guri)_\omega$ | after perfective prefix (VH) |
| 1 | $((w\alpha)_\omega = ye \times la)_\omega$ | after perfective prefix (VC) |
| 2 | $((\dot{t} \dot{e} ert)_\omega = me \ w lpha h ə)_\omega$ | after stressed part of verb |
| 3 | $((rlpha\ ta\ pe\ glphand\acute{\bullet})_\omega = de)_\omega$ | after verb and preverbal clitics |
| 4 | $((r\alpha \text{ ta pe w\'e})_{\omega} = \mathbf{de} \text{ g}\alpha n\dot{q}e)_{\omega}$ | after perfective prefix and preverbal clitic |

Prosodic Inversion: Within the verbal complex in Pashto, a 2P clitic is placed after the first prosodic word.



 Bögel (University of Konstanz)
 18.7.2014
 mfm 2015
 24 / 27

Summing up

- Pashto 2P clitics are subject to both, syntactic and prosodic constraints.
- If there is a preceding syntactic constituent, the (syntactic) placement is always sufficient:
- → There are no unstressed syntactic constituents preceding the 2P clitics
- If syntactically and prosodically stranded in a phrase-inital position, postlexical prosodic inversion ensures correct prosodic placement
- ightarrow The 2P clitic is placed at the position after the first prosodic word
- As for the analysis: straightforward implementation at the syntax-prosody interface in LFG (but that is a different talk)

Bögel (University of Konstanz)

25 / 27

Thank you!

... questions, comments...?



References

- Anderson, Stephen. 2005. Aspects of the Theory of Clitics. Oxford [a.o.]: Oxford University Press.
- Babrakzai, Farooq. 1999. Topics in Pashto Syntax. Ph.D.thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- Butt, Miriam. 1995. The Structure of Complex Predicates in Urdu. Dissertations in Linguistics, Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Dost, Ascander H. 2007. Linearization, Square Pegs, and Round Holes. Ph.D.thesis, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- Halpern, Aaron L. 1995. On the Placement and Morphology of Clitics. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Harris, Alice C. 2002. Endoclitics and the Origins of Udi Morphosyntax . Oxford [a.o.]: Oxford University Press.
- Kari, Ethelbert E. 2002. On endoclitics: some facts from Degema. Journal of Asian and African Studies 63.
- Kaisse, Ellen M. 1981. Separating phonology from syntax: A reanalysis of Pashto cliticization. Journal of Linguistics 17, 197–208.
- Lowe, John J. forthcoming. Clitics: separating syntax and prosody. Journal of Lin guistics x(y).
- Mohanan, Tara. 1990. Arguments in Hindi . Ph.D.thesis, Stanford University.
- Pate, David M. 2012. Second Position Clitics and Subordinate tSe Clauses in Pashto. Masters Thesis, Graduate Institute of Applied Linguistics, Dallas, Texas.
- Roberts, Taylor. 1997. The optimal second position in Pashto. In G. Booij and J. van de Weijer (eds.), Phonology in Progress Progress in Phonology: HIL Phonology Papers III, pages 367–401, Holland Academic Press. 54.
- Roberts, Taylor. 2000. Clitics and Agreement . Ph.D.thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Selkirk, Elisabeth O. 1995. The prosodic structure of function words. In J. N. Beckmann, L. W. Dickey and S. Urbanczyk (eds.), Papers in Optimality Theory, University of Massachusetts: Department of Linguistics.
- Spencer, Andrew and Luís, Ana R. 2012. Clitics an Introduction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tegey, Habibullah. 1977. The Grammar of Clitics: Evidence from Pashto and other Languages. Ph.D.thesis, University of Illinois.
- Tegey, Habibullah. 1979. Ergativity in Pushto. In I. Rauch and G.F. Carr (eds.), Linguistic Method: Essays in Honor of Herbert Penzl, pages 369–418, The Hague: Mouton.
- Tegey, Habibullah and Robson, Barbara. 1996. A Reference Grammar of Pashto. Washington, D.C.: Center for Applied Linguistics.